

USSN 09/869,990 Filed 11/15/2001  
Office Action Dated 01/03/2006  
Response dated 03/10/2006  
Atty. Docket No. JHT-0004 (P1997J057F)

-4-

### REMARKS

The Examiner has issued a non-statutory double patenting rejection as to certain claims in the instant application under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,620,313, as follows:

1. Claims 1, 2, 3 as being unpatentable over claims 1,2, and 3 of U.S. Patent No. 6,620,313;
2. Claims 4-6 as being unpatentable over claims 3 and 15 of U.S. Patent No. 6,620,313 in view of Ziemer (U.S. 5,543,035);
3. Claims 7-12 and 33 and 34 as being unpatentable over claims 3-8, 27 and 28 of U.S. Patent No. 6,620,313;
4. Claims 13 and 14 as being unpatentable over claims 2, 9 and 10 of U.S. Patent 6,620,313;
5. Claims 16, 17 and 18 as being unpatentable over claims 19, 20 and 21 of U.S. Patent 6,620,313;
6. Claims 19 and 20 as being unpatentable over claims 2 or 3 of U.S. Patent 6,620,313;
7. Claims 21-24 as being unpatentable over claims 22 and 23 of U.S. Patent No. 6,620,313;
8. Claims 25 and 26 as being unpatentable over claim 12 of U.S. Patent No. 6,620,313;
9. Claims 27-30 as being unpatentable over claims 24 and 25 of U.S. Patent No. 6,620,313;
10. Claim 31 as being unpatentable over claims 1, 2 or 3 of U.S. Patent No. 6,620,313 in view of Xiao (U.S. 5,993,644); and
11. Claim 32 as being unpatentable over claims 1, 2 or 3 of U.S. Patent 6,620,313 in view of Xiao (5,993,644).

USSN 09/869,990 Filed 11/15/2001  
Office Action Dated 01/03/2006  
Response dated 03/10/2006  
Atty. Docket No. JHT-0004 (P1997J057F)

-5-

A Terminal Disclaimer in compliance with 37 C.F.R. 1.321(c) is attached hereto to overcome this rejection.

The Examiner has issued a non-statutory double patenting rejection as to certain claims in the instant application under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,162,350, as follows:

1. Claims 1, 2 and 3 as being unpatentable over claims 1, 2, 4 and 8 of U.S. Patent 6,162,350;
2. Claims 7-12 and 33 and 34 as being unpatentable over claims 1, 2, 4 and 8 of U.S. Patent 6,162,350; and
3. Claims 13 and 14 as being unpatentable over claims 1, 2, 3, 4 and 8 of U.S. Patent No. 6,162,350.

A Terminal Disclaimer in compliance with 37 C.F.R. 1.321(c) is attached hereto to overcome this rejection.

Applicants acknowledge the prior art made of record as pertinent to applicants' disclosure, but not relied upon.


USSN 09/869,990 Filed 11/15/2001  
Office Action Dated 01/03/2006  
Response dated 03/10/2006  
Atty. Docket No. JHT-0004 (P1997J057F)

-6-

In view of this response and the submission of these Terminal Disclaimers,  
applicants request that the Examiner withdraw all rejections and pass this application to  
allowance.

Respectfully submitted:

Date: 9 March 2006

  
Gerard J. Hughes, Registration No. 41,855  
Attorney for Applicants  
Telephone: (225) 977-4942  
Facsimile: (225) 977-1025

*Correspondence Address:*  
ExxonMobil Research and Engineering Company  
P. O. Box 900  
Annandale, New Jersey 08801-0900